

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: [REDACTED]
To: Southerly Ten
Subject: New submission from EIS Submission

Declaration and Consent

Privacy

✓ I have read the [Privacy Collection Statement](#) and acknowledge that personal information I provide in my submission may be used or published as part of the EIS process

Publishing

✓ I acknowledge that a copy of my submission may be published in its entirety on this website and/or a government website.

Personal Information

✓ Where personal information about other people (including photos) is included in my submission, I have notified them of the contents of this [Privacy Collection Statement](#) and obtained their consent to their personal information being disclosed and published

Intellectual Property

✓ I am entitled to deal with the intellectual property rights (including copyright) of all material (both mine and any third party's) in my submission and have obtained necessary consent(s) from any and all third parties owning the copyright for such dealings.

Representative Information

Are you making this submission on behalf of someone else and/or an organisation?

No

Your Details

Name

Tom Holman

Address

[REDACTED]

Email

[REDACTED]

Confirm Email Address

[REDACTED]

Phone Number

[REDACTED]

How would you like to make your submission?

- I would like to enter it into a textbox

Your Submission

As the detailed technical reports contain much more qualification eg the marine mammals and turtles report explicitly says it must be read in full and that excerpts should not be taken as representative without context, it means that the summary compresses complex assumptions about whales, turtles, underwater noise, vessel strike, prey changes and cumulative effects.

The marine protected areas appear treated as low residual impact, but cumulative impact deserves close scrutiny. This should be independently tested, especially near Wilsons Promontory, Corner Inlet, Nooramunga and Ninety Mile Beach. The summary is proponent-fair, not community-complete. It smooths out uncertainty, confidence limits, contested ecological values and long-term stewardship questions. If the wind farm proceeds, community benefit funding should include long-term independent marine research, monitoring, education and protection — because the project's own EIS confirms it is intervening in a large and ecologically important marine system.

Submission Declaration

 This submission does not contain any material that could be regarded as defamatory or offensive to any person

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.