

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Southerly Ten  
**Subject:** New submission from EIS Submission

## Declaration and Consent

### Privacy

✓ I have read the [Privacy Collection Statement](#) and acknowledge that personal information I provide in my submission may be used or published as part of the EIS process

### Publishing

✓ I acknowledge that a copy of my submission may be published in its entirety on this website and/or a government website.

### Personal Information

✓ Where personal information about other people (including photos) is included in my submission, I have notified them of the contents of this [Privacy Collection Statement](#) and obtained their consent to their personal information being disclosed and published

### Intellectual Property

✓ I am entitled to deal with the intellectual property rights (including copyright) of all material (both mine and any third party's) in my submission and have obtained necessary consent(s) from any and all third parties owning the copyright for such dealings.

## Representative Information

Are you making this submission on behalf of someone else and/or an organisation?

No

## Your Details

### Name

bernadette gray

### Address

[REDACTED]

### Email

[REDACTED]

### Confirm Email Address

[REDACTED]

### Phone Number

[REDACTED]

How would you like to make your submission?

- I would like to enter it into a textbox

## Your Submission

Star of the South EIS submission.

\*It would seem Star of the South have presented 30,000 pages of other people's research and feasibility studies, claiming it as their own. I have perused, searched, looked for and noted that the contents have been borrowed.

\*There are no factual references attached to the information which appears to have been cut, copied and pasted to look like they have completed the assessments.

\*This submission is in actual fact working in Star of the Souths favour, they now have the opportunity to cross check and rectify their written mistakes before submitting their proposal to auction.

\*Taking other people's ideology from the WWW over the past 8 years has put them in an advanced position to enable them to go to auction in August.

\*This is plagiarism and I ask who check finds the submitted Statements?

\*Clean energy can be sourced in numerous other ways without interfering with Marine Life and Ecology of our pristine beaches.

\*You have openly admitted that your project will kill birds and the solution is to raise the blades 10metres, to reduce bird impact by 40%.

What number of bird deaths had you previously expected and what is the final tally now?

\* One spin of a turbine blade has the potential to impact and wipe out an entire flock of migrating birds.

\*This is not clean energy.

\*The cost alone to build these turbines far out ways the dollars saved over the lifespan of the project, especially taking into account the desecration of Location, Timeline, Construction, Operation time and Decommissioning.

\*Star of the South have still not answered or addressed my question;

How has Star of the South addressed interference from wind turbines up to 350 metres in height in regard to Our National Defence, Intelligence, Cybersecurity and Alliance given the RAAF Base is approximately 50kms from the proposed project and is on the actual training space used by the Airforce.

\*Star of the South have written;

" Offshore wind turbine operations could interfere with civil, weather and Defence radar". " By raising the height of the overlapping lowest safe altitude.....the residual impact is moderate. (summary report page 45).

\*These proposed turbines are effectively leaving our coastline open to invasion, war, boat people and a massive biosecurity risk, for future generations.

\*All in the name of Green Energy.

\*Jobs might be in abundance during the construction phase, bringing qualified technicians from other Countries.

There will Not be Local jobs, increased manufacturing or regional jobs and investment as stated by Star of the South.

\*Star of the South states in its slide show, they will be adding \$8 billion to the economy, they weren't able to answer how much it would cost the economy!

They also were not able to guarantee the shedding of micro plastics into our ocean, then landfill and tablelands. Pollution that will affect marine, land and humans for decades to come.

\*The economic impacts, far out ways the benefits. The price of power will escalate even more to cover the astronomical price of construction.

\*The potential desecration to the townships of Seaspray, Golden Beach and The Honey Suckles where people have made their peaceful lives, with marine, birdlife, dark skies, bushlands and basic homes and lifestyles will be ruined for the sake of Industrialising our Ocean and beautiful 90mile beach. So shameful.

#### Submission Declaration

 This submission does not contain any material that could be regarded as defamatory or offensive to any person

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.